

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/853,770	05/11/2001	Satoshi Shigematsu	96790P355	6640
8791 BLAKELY SC	7590 03/16/2007 OKOLOFF TAYLOR &	EXAMINER		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			TRAN, ELLEN C	
SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	•	2134	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		03/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	·	
09/853,770	SHIGEMATSU ET AL.	SHIGEMATSU ET AL.	
Examiner .	Art Unit		
Ellen C. Tran	2134		

before the filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner .	Art Unit				
	Ellen C. Tran	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE	, coo			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider	ice, which			
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing the mailing data.	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41 37(e)) to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet _ appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec		he issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rejected	ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will rided below or appended.	be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	tice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under annea	l and/or annellant fails	s to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after en	try is below or attache	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (l3. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	KAMBIZ ZANI PRIMARY EXAM	D INER			
		I I man				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amended independent claims 1, 10, 21, 25, 29, and 33, to include the limitation "a protocol conversion unit for converting format of the communication data to be transmitted to the device into a format that can be received and decoded by the device wherein said personal collation unit and communication are integrated". Therefore a new search would be required because the amendment overcomes the prior art of record Scott et al. US Patent No. 6,484,260 (hereinafter '260). The prior art reference '260 does not contain the limitation of converting into a format so that it can be decoded by a device. In addition Applicant canceled claims 37-50 and added claims 94-99 adding the limitation that a new password is generated by the locker and stored in both the main body of the locker and token inserted into the main body whenever the door is locked. Therefore a new search would be required because the amendment overcomes the prior art of record Saito US Patent NO. 6,980,672 (hereinafter '672). The prior art reference '672 does not contain the limitation of generating a new password to be shared between device and token.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As indicated above, applicant amended independent claims 1, 10, 21, 25, 29, and 33, to include the limitation "a protocol conversion unit for converting format of the communication data to be transmitted to the device into a format that can be received and decoded by the device wherein said personal collation unit and communication are integrated". Therefore a new search would be required because the amendment overcomes the prior art of record Scott et al. US Patent No. 6,484,260 (hereinafter '260). The prior art reference '260 does not contain the limitation of converting into a format so that it can be decoded by a device. In addition Applicant canceled claims 37-50 and added claims 94-99 adding the limitation that a new password is generated by the locker and stored in both the main body of the locker and token inserted into the main body whenever the door is locked. Therefore a new search would be required because the amendment overcomes the prior art of record Saito US Patent NO. 6,980,672 (hereinafter '672). The prior art reference '672 does not contain the limitation of generating a new password to be shared between device and token.